

10/23/66

Dear Mr. Jackson,

1 Wish I had time to answer each and every one of your points but in an 18920
your day I do not.

My book was not intended as merely an intellectual exercise. I feel this is true of some of your complaints. I'll address a few. You misquote me. I did not say my book is the first written. It is, as I said, the first on the Report. It preceeded Foxes, both in composition and publication. Those three (adding Jonston's) are not substantial. This is not a condemnation. They serve important functions. If they did nothing but raise question that is important. They, meaning B and J, are quite irresponsible. You may differ.

You misunderstood too much. On the paper, the three days meant only that if O had taken the paper, there was this limit on when. Other rolls were tested and eliminated.

The question on "aker is simple. You complicate it. He got there before Oswald could have hence Oswald could not have been on the sixth floor at all.

A number of people claim the car stopped. You assume the integrity of Zapruder's film as it is in evidence, not as it was filmed. I do not say the car stopped. I do not believe it stopped. The question should not have ended an official mystery.

The content of what the police broadcast, which fit a large percentage of the men of Dallas, and what Brennan told them, judging by the written records, are not the same. There are major differences.

As an engineer you should have little trouble visualizing the difficulty if not impossibility of pointing and using a rifle underneath a less than 17" opening in an 18" wall at a downward angle with a telescopic sight to accommodate stop the rifles. A man standing and firing a rifle could not do so because there was a double thickness of unviolated glass beginning 2 30" or less above the floor. It could not and did not happen. This is a fine sample of the Report's destitution.

Look at the picture on 202-5. The fourth stripe is in the foreground.

The Tippit autopsy does not exist in the Report or the 26 volumes. I have recently obtained a copy. From the description of the Commission any of the shots would have been fatal. From the autopsy one hit a button and that bruised him. Not fatal.

On conspiracy, defined as a combination to do wrong: it is more than possible that Oswald was involved in something he did not understand or expect to be assassinatin

On finding the medical phrase "front entrance" as you put it filthy, hani soi *filthy* mal y pense.

On the question of when the governor was hit. One bullet that is acknowledged missed the motorcade entirely. One exploded in the President's head. Accepting the FBI's statement that the camera exposed 18.3 frames per second, which I do not, and with the ~~the~~ fatal shot clearly recorded, with the governor in clear view most of the film, with only one bullet to have caused all five of his injuries and the two non-fatal ones of the President, this means that that shot had to have been fired first or there is an additional bullet and an additional marksman. So it very much matters which shot hit Connally. First of the entire "report on this basis alone is a fake.

You are entitled to any opinions you chose to hold, no matter how invalid, but criticisms, especially about clean noses and "eschewing any remotely similar literary skulduggery" would come with better grace if they bore as much resemblance to reality as the garlic wafted over the soup.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg